

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,225 06/25/2001		06/25/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/279001/US5023/5025 1969		
26171	7590	09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIC	•	SON P.C.	SONG, MATTHEW J			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1722	• •	
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
		09/892,225	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Matthew J. Song	1722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.5 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	<i>ıly</i> 2006.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-7,15-19,23,29-31 and 35-68</u> is/are p	pending in the applicatio	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-7,15-19,23,29-31 and 35-68</u> is/are	ejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	en received in this National Stage	
* /	application from the International Bureau	•	at as a bund	
- (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachmen	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/06.		f Informal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Translation has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151).

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches an amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and an amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material. Noguchi et al also teaches amorphous layer is crystallized by heat treating for a predetermined time to form polycrystalline layers 21, 31 (Abstract).

Noguchi et al teaches using a heat treatment to crystallize the amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layer to polycrystalline layers. Noguchi et al does not teach crystallizing the amorphous films by irradiated with an excimer laser light or the combined thickness of the first and second amorphous films is within the range of 20-100 nm.

In a method of fabricating a polycrystalline silicon-germanium thin film transistor (TFT), note entire reference, Shimizu teaches forming an amorphous silicon layer, an amorphous germanium layer and converting the amorphous silicon layer and the amorphous germanium layer into polycrystalline layers (col 3, ln 1-25). Shimizu also discloses the amorphous silicon and germanium layers are formed by plasma CVD (col 3, ln 26-40 and Example 2). Shimizu also discloses both of the amorphous layers are converted into polycrystalline layer by annealing using an ultraviolet laser light, such as an excimer laser (col 3, ln 41-67 and Example 3). Shimzu also discloses a source electrode 2 and a drain electrode 3 and an amorphous silicon film used as an ohmic contact layer 4, this clearly suggests applicant's insulating film covering an electrode, and thereafter forming an amorphous silicon and amorphous germanium layer, which are crystallized by laser light (col 5, ln 1-67). Shimzu also teaches the application of heat or light to promote recrystallization of amorphous germanium will result in progress of recrystallization of the amorphous silicon layer at a lower temperature than that by conventional methods and laser annealing can be replaced with heating to a temperature greater than 600°C (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20 and col 6, ln 20-35), this is a teaching that the application of heat or light are equivalent methods of recrystallization of amorphous SiGe and Si layers. Shimizu also teaches forming an amorphous silicon layer having a thickness of 80 nm and a germanium layer having a thickness of 20 nm (col 6, ln 30-50).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al's heat treatment by using an excimer laser annealing, as taught by Shimzu, because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

In a method of forming a semiconductor, note entire reference, Tsutsu teaches forming a semiconductor layer of Si_xGe_{1-x} (0<x<1) (col 2, ln 40-50). Tsutsu also teaches the semiconductor is annealing with an energy beam or heat treating at 550°C to recrystallize the amorphous semiconductor layer into a polycrystalline layer (Example 1, Example 2, and col 3, ln 65 to col 3, ln 45). Tsutsu teaches excimer laser light used to crystallize an amorphous silicon germanium film (col 4, ln 35-50).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al's heat treatment by using an excimer laser annealing, as taught by Tsutsu, because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the

combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Referring to the limitation requiring the combined thickness of the first and second amorphous films is within a range of 20-100 nm, Shimizu teaches a first film being 80 nm thick and a second film which is 20 nm thick (col 6, ln 25-50) for a total of 100 nm. Therefore, having combined thickness of 20-100 nm would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the thickness are within the known ranges typically used to form semiconductor devices. Furthermore, changes in size are held to be *prima facie* obvious (MPEP 2144.04).

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches forming electrode, insulating films and crystallizing amorphous films ('541 Fig 1 and '769 Fig 4).

Referring to claims 7,23, and 37-38 the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches a silicon film ('769 Fig 4c).

Referring to claims 35-36 and 39-40, the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches introducing germanium into the semiconductor film to promote crystallization by reducing the crystallization temperature ('769 Fig 2).

Referring to claim 41, the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches irradiation with laser light to convert an amorphous film to polycrystalline, this clearly suggests irradiating to obtain a higher crystallinity.

Referring to claims 55, 56, 59 and 60-62, the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu is silent to the concentration of oxygen, nitrogen and carbon in the first and second amorphous film is less than 1×10^{19} /cm³. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by producing films with low amount of impurities such as oxygen, carbon and nitrogen below a value of 1×10^{19} /cm³ which would alter the electrical properties of the film. Furthermore, to obtain concentrations higher than 1×10^{19} /cm³ would require some type of intentional doping. Since the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu does not teach doping; the films are expected to have concentrations of oxygen, carbon and nitrogen below 1×10^{19} /cm³.

3. Claims 15-17, 29, and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151), as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches all of the limitations of claim 15, as discussed previously, except introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous semiconductor film.

Art Unit: 1722

Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this clearly suggests introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon and the amorphous silicon germanium layer to promote crystallization of the layers which are to be crystallized and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

Referring to claim 63-68, Admission teaches nickel.

4. Claims 43 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English translation has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151) as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Zhang et al (US 5,578,520).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches all of the limitations of claim 19, as discussed previously in claim 15. The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu is silent to a CVD apparatus with a turbo molecular pump used in an exhaust means connected to a reaction chamber.

Page 8

In a plasma CVD apparatus for depositing amorphous silicon, Zhang et al teaches a CVD apparatus 2, where a vacuum evacuation apparatus comprising a turbo molecular pump and a rotary pump connected in series, so that impurity concentration inside the chamber may be maintained as low as possible (Fig 2 and col 6, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu, or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu with Zhang et al to maintain the impurity concentration in the chamber as low as possible.

Also, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

5. Claims 42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Translation has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151), as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Maekawa (US 6,066,547).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches all of the limitations of claim 18, as discussed previously. The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu is silent to irradiating with a light from one selected from the group consisting of a halogen lamp, a xenon lamp, a mercury lamp, a metal halide lamp as a light source.

In a method of forming a thin film transistor, note entire reference, Maekawa teaches a transparent substrate of glass or quartz, a step 90 for providing an amorphous film, where silicon,

Art Unit: 1722

germanium or silicon-germanium alloys are typical amorphous films, for forming a thin film transistor, a step 92 for depositing a layer of an amorphous film, a step 94 for introducing a transition metal to induce rapid crystallization of the amorphous film and a step 96 for rapid thermal annealing to convert the amorphous film into a polycrystalline film (Fig 20 and col 11, ln 1-67). Maekawa also teaches the rapid thermal annealing step includes annealing with a tungsten-halogen lamp, Xe arc lamp and an excimer laser (col 12, ln 1-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu, or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu with Maekawa because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

Page 9

6. Claims 19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151) and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission) as applied to claims 15-17, 29, and 63-68 above, and further in view of Zhang et al (US 5,578,520).

The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 19, as discussed previously in claim 15. The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission is silent to a CVD apparatus with a turbo molecular pump used in an exhaust means connected to a reaction chamber.

Art Unit: 1722

In a plasma CVD apparatus for depositing amorphous silicon, Zhang et al teaches a CVD apparatus 2, where a vacuum evacuation apparatus comprising a turbo molecular pump and a rotary pump connected in series, so that impurity concentration inside the chamber may be maintained as low as possible (Fig 2 and col 6, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission, or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission to maintain the impurity concentration in the chamber as low as possible.

Also, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

7. Claims 18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151), and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission), in view of, as applied to claims 15-17, 29, and 63-68 above, and further in view of Maekawa (US 6,066,547).

The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission, or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 18, as discussed previously. The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission is silent to irradiating with a light from one selected from the group consisting of a halogen lamp, a xenon lamp, a mercury lamp, a metal halide lamp as a light source.

Art Unit: 1722

In a method of forming a Thin film transistor, note entire reference, Maekawa teaches a transparent substrate of glass or quartz, a step 90 for providing an amorphous film, where silicon, germanium or silicon-germanium alloys are typical amorphous films, for forming a thin film transistor, a step 92 for depositing a layer of an amorphous film, a step 94 for introducing a transition metal to induce rapid crystallization of the amorphous film and a step 96 for rapid thermal annealing to convert the amorphous film into a polycrystalline film (Fig 20 and col 11, ln 1-67). Maekawa also teaches the rapid thermal annealing step includes annealing with a tungsten-halogen lamp, Xe arc lamp and an excimer laser (col 12, ln 1-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission, or the combination of Noguchi et al,

Tsutsu and Admission because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

8. Claims 47, 48, and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Translation has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151) as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Kunii (JP 04-163910), an English Abstract has been provided, or further in view of Cho (JP 11-340473), an English Abstract has been provided.

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu teaches all of the limitations of claim 47, as discussed previously in claim 5. The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu, or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu is silent to patterning before crystallizing.

Art Unit: 1722

In a method of forming a semiconductor device, Kunii teaches an amorphous si thin film is formed and etched into a pattern for a thin film transistor. Kunii also teaches the film is subjected to laser annealing (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by patterning after forming the layers, as taught by Kunii to form a plurality of semiconductor devices on a single wafer.

In a method of forming a thin film transistor, Cho teaches an amorphous si thin film is formed and subjected to specified etching before polycrystallizing by laser annealing, this reads on applicant's patterning (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by patterning after forming the layers, as taught by Cho, to form a plurality of semiconductor devices on a single wafer.

9. Claims 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) or Tsutsu (US 6,118,151), and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission) as applied to claims 15-17, 29, and 63-68 above, and further in view of Kunii (JP 04-163910), an English Abstract has been provided, or further in view of Cho (JP 11-340473), an English Abstract has been provided.

The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 49, as discussed

previously in claim 15. The combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Tsutsu and Admission is silent to patterning before crystallizing.

In a method of forming a semiconductor device, Kunii teaches an amorphous Si thin film is formed and etched into a pattern for a thin film transistor. Kunii also teaches the film is subjected to laser annealing (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by patterning after forming the layers, as taught by Kunii to form a plurality of semiconductor devices on a single wafer.

In a method of forming a thin film transistor, Cho teaches an amorphous Si thin film is formed and subjected to specified etching before polycrystallizing by laser annealing, this reads on applicant's patterning (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu or the combination of Noguchi et al and Tsutsu by patterning after forming the layers, as taught by Cho, to form a plurality of semiconductor devices on a single wafer.

Double Patenting

10. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1722

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

11. Claims 5-7, 15-16, 19, 31, and 35-68 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 50-51, 59-60, 66 of U.S. Patent No. 6,482,684 in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims of the instant application and US 6,482,684 is the instant claims first amorphous layer comprising germanium and a second amorphous semiconductor layer, where US 6,482,684 claims an amorphous semiconductor film and forming a film comprising germanium, which is inherently amorphous because the film is formed on an amorphous film using conventional deposition techniques, i.e. plasma CVD.

US 6,482,684 also does not claim a silicon and germanium containing film wherein a concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atom% to 10 atom% or the silicon layer is formed on a Silicon Germanium layer.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify US 6,482,684 by using the sequentially laminated amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers taught by Noguchi et al to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer, which is desirable.

Art Unit: 1722

The combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al teaches all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable.

Referring to the limitation requiring the combined thickness of the first and second amorphous films is within a range of 20-100 nm, thickness within the claimed ranges are conventionally used in the art to produce semiconductor devices, as evidenced by Shimizu (US 5,753, 541) which teaches a first film being 80 nm thick and a second film which is 20 nm thick (col 6, ln 25-50) for a total of 100 nm. Therefore, having combined thickness of 20-100 nm would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because the thickness are within the known ranges typically used to form semiconductor devices. Furthermore, changes in size are held to be *prima facie* obvious (MPEP 2144.04).

Referring to claim 15-16, the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al does not teach introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous semiconductor film.

Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film

Art Unit: 1722

and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this clearly suggests applicant's introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon to promote crystallization and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

Referring to claims 19 and 31, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

Referring to claim 42-54, patterning is obvious in the semiconductor industry to obtain a plurality of independent product within a single wafer.

Referring to claims 55-62, the combination of US 6,482,684, Noguchi et al and Admission is silent to the concentration of oxygen, nitrogen and carbon in the first and second amorphous film is less than 1×10^{19} /cm³. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684, Noguchi et al and Admission by producing films with low amount of impurities such as oxygen, carbon and nitrogen below a value of 1×10^{19} /cm³ which would alter the electrical properties of the film. Furthermore, to obtain concentrations higher than 1×10^{19} /cm³ would require some type of intentional doping. Since the combination of US 6,482,684, Noguchi et al and Admission does not teach doping, the films are expected to have concentrations of oxygen, carbon and nitrogen below 1×10^{19} /cm³.

Art Unit: 1722

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7, 15-19, 23, 29-31 and 35-68 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

13. Applicant's arguments filed 7/10/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that the claimed concentration and thickness rages are critical is noted but not found persuasive. Applicant's argument relies on a portion of the specification which states: such as crystalline semiconductor film which exhibits a high orientation with respect to the {101} plane is achieved not only by the addition of germanium at a concentration of 0.1-10 atomic %, but also by the synergistic effect of the concentration of oxygen, carbon and nitrogen below 1x10¹⁹/cm³ and the thickness between 20-100 nm. Applicants have merely states that the ranges are critical without providing any experimental data to support the argument for criticality; therefore the argument is not found persuasive. Furthermore, the concentration of carbon, nitrogen and oxygen and the thickness ranges are within the conventional ranges known in the art of semiconductor device manufacturing.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fujiwara (US 5,879,976) teaches an amorphous Si film crystallized using laser annealing (col 5, ln 40-65).

Art Unit: 1722

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew J Song Examiner Art Unit 1722

MJS

September 15, 2006

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700